

FOUR VACANCIES FILLED IN P. O. DEPARTMENT

Alfred B. Foster, Leighton V. B. Marshalk, Michael K. Campbell and John K. Pickett Promoted.

Appointments to Offices Made Necessary by Recent Changes, It Is Announced.



L. V. B. MARSHALK, Superintendent division of supplies.

Postmaster General Burleson today announced the filling of four important vacancies in the Post Office Department by the promotion of officials and clerks of lower grade. Alfred B. Foster of California was promoted from chief clerk to the fourth assistant postmaster general at \$2,500 per annum to be superintendent of the division of equipment at \$2,750 per annum; Leighton V. B. Marshalk of Kentucky, from chief clerk of the division of rural mails at \$2,000 to be superintendent of the division of post office supplies at \$2,750; Michael K. Campbell of Illinois, from chief clerk of class four at \$1,800 to be chief clerk of the division of equipment at \$2,000, and John K. Pickett of Georgia, from chief clerk, division of equipment, at \$2,000 to be chief clerk of the division of post office supplies at \$2,750 per annum.

Vacancies in the offices filled by the appointment of Messrs. Foster and Marshalk were created by the recent appointment of William L. Denning as chief clerk of the department. While Mr. Denning held the office of superintendent of the division of supplies the chief clerk of the division of equipment was not filled. Mr. Pickett takes Mr. Foster's former place and Mr. Campbell succeeds Mr. Pickett.

First Job That of Page.

In 1899 Mr. Marshalk was appointed a page, at \$30 a month, in the office of the fourth assistant postmaster general. He was then seven years old. A year later he was promoted to messenger in the division of post office inspectors at almost double his former salary. He rose quickly through various grades until 1913, when he was made a post office inspector. In this position he obtained postal experience in various capacities. He has been in the service of the post office since 1914.

After he regained his health he re-entered the service as a clerk in the office of the Postmaster General. In 1915, he was named as chief clerk, division of rural mails, and assigned as acting chief clerk, division of supplies.

By competitive civil service examination Mr. Foster entered the postal service from California. He has served in several divisions of the Post Office Department and is said to be especially familiar with the work of the equipment division. He is forty-seven years old, and was born in Missouri. He went to work as a clerk in the Oakland post office when he took the examination for a permanent position in Washington.

Started as a Stenographer. Mr. Pickett had his preliminary experience as a stenographer in the office of the second assistant postmaster general.

He later was transferred to the contract division as clerk in charge of the Pennsylvania-Ohio section. He held this assignment until the star route service was placed under the jurisdiction of the fourth assistant postmaster general.



JOHN K. PICKETT, Chief clerk, fourth assistant postmaster general.

general and consolidated with the rural delivery service. He held this position until July 1, 1913, he was placed in charge of the equipment branch of the supply division. He held this position until he was promoted to the chief clerkship of the division of equipment. During the two years Mr. Pickett was in the office of the Postmaster General Burleson has knowledge of postal conditions in a number of important cases of special nature, involving the reconstruction of methods, and improvements in postal equipment. Mr. Pickett was born at Preston, Washington, August 10, 1871. His home is at Dawson, Ga.

RACER KILLED; ANOTHER HURT

Tuning Up Car for Contest at Columbus, Ohio, Proves Fatal.

COLUMBUS, Ohio, October 11.—Douglas Gray of Lima, Ohio, was instantly killed and Clarence Robinson of this city, a negro chauffeur, was probably fatally injured when an automobile which Gray was tuning up for the Ohio amateur championship 100-mile race skidded and overturned at the driving track here yesterday. Robinson had asked to be taken around the track in Gray's machine.

Since accidents marred the race, it was postponed. Gray was a member of the team which won the 100-mile race at Cleveland in 1914.

PRESIDENT IS INVITED TO BAND TESTIMONIAL

His Fiancee, Mrs. Norman Galt, Also Asked to Be Spectator at Brightwood Reservoir.

President Wilson and his fiancée, Mrs. Norman Galt, may be spectators at the citizens' band testimonial to the government band, which is to be held at Brightwood reservoir Saturday afternoon.

An invitation to the chief executive and his bride-to-be to attend what promises to be one of the best municipal band performances ever staged in Washington was extended at the White House this morning by members of the committee on arrangements, consisting of Melvin C. Hazen, C. J. Gockeler, A. J. Driscoll, Charles J. Columbus and John Martin. While a definite answer has not been given, the President, it is understood, would like to attend the exercises if he can arrange to do so.

Tumulty May Be a Judge.

The committee also invited Secretary Tumulty to officiate as one of the judges and he has promised that he would be on hand if engagements do not call him out of the city on that day. Mr. Tumulty was one of the spectators of the Fourth of July celebration at Brightwood and is a big booster for municipal entertainments of this character, as he believes that they are strong factors in the promotion of civic spirit. Judging from the entries that are coming in, the show, which is to be held at Brightwood, will present some very exciting competition in the races and other events than did the Independence day fete.

Nominations for the pony, polo pony, free-for-all and mule races have been made by a number of owners, and riders already, and as post entries will be received large fields are expected to face the barrier. Entries should be sent to Melvin C. Hazen, chairman, in the District building.

MISS EMMA R. GRAVES DIES AT HER HOME IN THIS CITY

Was One of Three Women Appointed to Government Positions by U. S. Treasurer in 1857.

Miss Emma R. Graves, one of three women appointed to positions in the Treasury Department in 1857 by Gen. Spinner, United States treasurer, died yesterday at 1437 Irving street, north-west, after a long illness. She was eighty-eight years old, and had been ill for about three weeks. Death was due to general debility.

Miss Amelia B. Graves, sister of Miss Emma, came to Washington some weeks ago from the family home at Boonville, N. Y., and nursed her during her illness. She left at 1 o'clock this afternoon for Boonville with the body, where the interment will take place Wednesday.

Seven years ago Miss Emma Graves observed the fiftieth anniversary of her appointment in the Treasury Department, and five years later she again celebrated the anniversary of her appointment. She was one of the few women in the department who had been in the service since its inception. She was one of the few women who had been in the service since its inception.

The department has been the scene of all of Miss Graves' labors since she entered the government service over half a century ago. She was one of the few women who had been in the service since its inception.

By competitive civil service examination Mr. Foster entered the postal service from California. He has served in several divisions of the Post Office Department and is said to be especially familiar with the work of the equipment division. He is forty-seven years old, and was born in Missouri. He went to work as a clerk in the Oakland post office when he took the examination for a permanent position in Washington.

Started as a Stenographer. Mr. Pickett had his preliminary experience as a stenographer in the office of the second assistant postmaster general.

He later was transferred to the contract division as clerk in charge of the Pennsylvania-Ohio section. He held this assignment until the star route service was placed under the jurisdiction of the fourth assistant postmaster general.

DATE OF WEDDING NOT YET KNOWN

(Continued from First Page.)

came packed with people and the police had plenty to do keeping the throng in check. There was no trouble, however, except over the religious efforts of Joseph Pruijk, a Belgian, who says he came to this country on relief work for the Belgians. He wanted to get a little bit too close to the President. The police prevented his going into the church. Pruijk later explained that he did not know the President was in Baltimore, the stranger having stopped off to see the cathedrals and city parks.

The President and Mrs. Galt listened attentively to the sermon by Rev. Dr. Harris. After the service the President and Mrs. Galt, while the President bowed far over and rested his head on the back of the pew, the President took dinner at Mrs. Galt's home.

Takes Dinner at Mrs. Galt's Home.

The President last night went to Mrs. Galt's home for dinner. He walked from the White House to her home shortly after 6 o'clock. He didn't let anybody know he was going, and the secret service men scrambled after him when they found he had departed from the White House.

Celebration at Vassar.

POUGHKEEPSIE, N. Y., October 11.—Delegates from practically every college and university in the United States and some from abroad, alumnae from every state and several hundred special nature, involving the reconstruction of methods, and improvements in postal equipment. Mr. Pickett was born at Preston, Washington, August 10, 1871. His home is at Dawson, Ga.

No Conference at Schenectady.

SCHENECTADY, N. Y., October 11.—George E. Emmons, general manager of the General Electric Company, went to Erie, Pa., today, and as a result there was no conference between officials of the company and representatives of its 10,000 employees, who are striking for an eight-hour day.

1,000 Indians at Funeral of Enos.

CHEYENNE, Wyo., October 11.—Indians to the number of 1,000 yesterday attended the funeral at Fort Washakie, Wyo., of John Enos, 104 years old, the most noted Indian of the Wind River reservation. Enos was a guide for the Morans in their expedition to the mountains in 1869-70.

THE COURTS.

United States Court of Claims.

Present: Chief Justice Edward H. Campbell, Judge Fenton W. South, Judge George W. W. Atkinson and Judge George E. Downey.

The chief justice announced the following cases for trial: *United States vs. E. Scott, Edward Warfield, Clifford I. Adair, Robert Williams, Elias Galt, Henry A. Arvin, Great Northern Railway Company, Jones A. Coltrane, Alexander M. De Haven, receiver; Edward L. Daniels, William Van Hook.*

The following cases are remanded to the calendar: *see orders: Aaron H. Appel, Frank McCoy, James B. Greene, Jerome W. Howe, Frank C. Griffin, John G. and I. N. Day; former findings withdrawn and amended findings filed; judgment and opinion to stand.*

Arthur E. Ford, *com; claimant's motion for trial and to discontinue case overruled.*

California Bridge and Construction Company; *defendants' motion for new trial overruled.*

George B. Spearin; *claimant's and defendant's motion to amend findings to law calendar.*

Rudolph A. Ashton; *motion for new trial to law calendar.*

Charles M. Fulton, *administrator; claimant's motions for new trial and to amend findings to law calendar.*

Edward Y. Henson; *claimant's motion to reinstate allowed; see endorsement.*

Hilary Williams; *former findings and conclusion vacated and set aside and amended findings this day filed.*

The following cases are dismissed: *Daniel D. Tierney, Charles M. Lombard, Frank Pierce, C. Augustus, William C. Dye, Robert A. McCauley.*

Indian Depredations—*Judgment for plaintiff in U. S. vs. A. B. Smith, administrator; John W. Griffin, Delbert Rasmussen, administrator; John Galt, administrator; Andrew D. Everett, administrator; motion for new trial to law calendar.*

Martha Taylor, *administratrix; new findings filed and judgment entered in name of administratrix.*

Selma Estovano Olguin; *former findings withdrawn and new findings now filed.*

Benedito Naranjo, *administrator; motion to reinstate allowed.*

Following motions to reinstate to law calendar and set for hearing November 8, 1915:

Juan Jaramillo, *administrator; Anton Scheidolph, Carlos Nerero, Cinderalia A. Newcomb, Victoriana Fada.*

John H. Kennedy; *motion to substitute attorney to law calendar.*

Max and W. A. Herbert, *submitted; attorneys, Harry Peyton and George T. Stormont.*

The court adjourned until tomorrow at 10 o'clock.

Court of Appeals.

Present: The Chief Justice, Mr. Justice Robb and Mr. Justice Van Order.

Alexander W. Murray, John T. Taylor, William C. Meyers and Robert T. Neill were admitted to practice.

Nos. 957 and 958. Patent appeals. *Candace & Co. vs. B. F. Goodrich Company; motions for judgment for plaintiff and for defendant allowed.*

No. 2799. P. H. Sheehy Company vs. Eastern Importing and Manufacturing Company; *argument commenced by plaintiff; motion for judgment for plaintiff allowed.*

Mr. J. T. Butler for appellant, continued by Mr. Arthur Hellen and Mr. Henry P. Blair for appellees and concluded by Mr. J. W. Cox for appellant.

Nos. 2802 and 2803. Moebbs vs. Gardiner & Dent and Moebbs vs. Latham; *argument commenced by plaintiff; motion for judgment for plaintiff allowed.*

Mr. J. T. Butler for appellant, continued by Mr. W. G. Gardiner for appellees and concluded by Mr. C. F. Diggs for appellant.

No. 2706. Craig vs. Farish; *motion to recall mandate and to modify opinion; judgment and mandate granted to the extent of the words "as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate."*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

United States vs. Harry Meyer, *as executor of the estate of J. W. Farish, after the word defendant in mandate.*

FUTURE MRS. WILSON WHEN A GIRL, AND HER BROTHER OF LOUISVILLE.



DR. W. A. BOLLING